



BRIAN C. McNEIL

EXECUTIVE SECRETARY

WILLIAM A. MUNDELL CHAIRMAN JIM IRVIN COMMISSIONER MARC SPITZER COMMISSIONER

RECEIVEN

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

288 MAR 14 P 12: 22 Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETE

MAR 1 4 2001

DATE:

DOCKET NO:

T-03846A-00-0143

March 14, 2001

TO ALL PARTIES:

DOCKETED BY

Enclosed please find the recommendation of Administrative Law Judge Alicia Grantham. The recommendation has been filed in the form of an Order on:

> LOTEL, INC. dba COORDINATED BILLING SERVICES, INC. (RESELLER)

Pursuant to A.A.C. R14-3-110(B), you may file exceptions to the recommendation of the Administrative Law Judge by filing an original and ten (10) copies of the exceptions with the Commission's Docket Control at the address listed below by 4:00 p.m. on or before:

MARCH 23, 2001

The enclosed is NOT an order of the Commission, but a recommendation of the Administrative Law Judge to the Commissioners. Consideration of this matter has tentatively been scheduled for the Commission's Working Session and Open Meeting to be held on:

MARCH 27, 2001 and MARCH 28, 2001

For more information, you may contact Docket Control at (602)542-3477 or the Hearing Division at (602)542-4250.

BRIAN C. McNEII

EXECUTIVE SECRETARY

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

WILLIAM A. MUNDELL CHAIRMAN JIM IRVIN COMMISSIONER MARC SPITZER

COMMISSIONER

1

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF LOTEL, INC. D/B/A COORDINATED BILLING SERVICES FOR A CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY TO PROVIDE COMPETITIVE RESOLD INTEREXCHANGE TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES EXCEPT LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICES

DOCKET NO. T-03846A-00-0143

DECISION NO.

ORDER

Open Meeting March 27 and 28, 2001 Phoenix, Arizona

BY THE COMMISSION:

Having considered the entire record herein and being fully advised in the premises, the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") finds, concludes, and orders that:

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. On March 2, 2000, LoTel, Inc. d/b/a Coordinated Billing Services ("Applicant") filed with the Commission an application for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity ("Certificate") to provide competitive resold interexchange telecommunications services, except local exchange services, within the State of Arizona.
- 2. In Decision No. 58926 (December 22, 1994), the Commission found that resold telecommunications providers ("resellers") were public service corporations subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission.
 - 3. Applicant is a Minnesota corporation authorized to do business in Arizona since 1999.
- 4. Applicant is a switchless reseller, which purchases telecommunications services from various telecommunications service providers.
- 5. On September 22, 2000, the Commission's Utilities Division Staff ("Staff") filed its Staff Report in this matter.

27

28

1

- 6. Staff stated that the Applicant provided its financial statements for the period ending September 30, 1999, which listed assets of \$250,915, and shareholders' equity of \$235,367. In addition, Applicant had a net profit of \$263,997 on revenues of \$2.9 million. Based on the foregoing, Staff believes that Applicant lacks adequate financial resources to be allowed to charge customers any prepayments, advances or deposits without establishing an escrow account or posting a surety bond. Applicant stated in its application that it does not currently, and will not in the future, charge its customers for any prepayments, advances or deposits.
- 7. The Staff Report stated that Applicant has no market power and the reasonableness of its rates would be evaluated in a market with numerous competitors.
 - 8. In its Report, Staff recommended the following:
 - (a) Applicant should be ordered to comply with all Commission rules, orders and other requirements relevant to the provision of intrastate telecommunications services;
 - (b) Applicant should be ordered to maintain its accounts and records as required by the Commission;
 - (c) Applicant should be ordered to file with the Commission all financial and other reports that the Commission may require, and in a form and at such times as the Commission may designate;
 - (d) Applicant should be ordered to maintain on file with the Commission all current tariffs and rates, and any service standards that the Commission may require;
 - (e) Applicant should be ordered to comply with the Commission's rules and modify its tariffs to conform to these rules if it is determined that there is a conflict between the Applicant's tariffs and the Commission's rules;
 - (f) Applicant should be ordered to cooperate with Commission investigations of customer complaints;
 - (g) Applicant should be ordered to participate in and contribute to a universal service fund, as required by the Commission;
 - (h) Applicant should be ordered to notify the Commission immediately upon changes to the Applicant's address or telephone number;
 - (i) If at some future date, the applicant wants to charge any prepayments, advances, or deposits, it must file information with the Commission that demonstrates the Applicant's financial viability. Upon receipt of such filing, Staff will review the information and the Commission will make a determination concerning the

Applicant's financial viability and whether customer prepayments, advances or deposits should be allowed;

- (j) Applicant's intrastate interexchange service offerings should be classified as competitive pursuant to A.A.C. R14-2-1108;
- (k) The rates proposed by the Applicant in its most recently filed tariffs should be approved on an interim basis. The maximum rates for these services should be the maximum rates proposed by the Applicant in its proposed tariffs. The minimum rates for the Applicant's competitive services should be the Applicant's total service long run incremental costs of providing those services as set forth in A.A.C. R14-2-1109; and
- (l) In the event that the Applicant states only one rate in its proposed tariff for a competitive service, the rate stated should be the effective (actual) price to be charged for the service as well as the service's maximum rate.
- 9. On August 29, 2000, the Court of Appeals, Division One ("Court") issued its Opinion in <u>US WEST Communications</u>, Inc. v. Arizona Corporation Commission, 1 CA-CV 98-0672, holding that "the Arizona Constitution requires the Commission to determine fair value rate base ("FVRB") for all public service corporations in Arizona prior to setting their rates and charges."
- 10. On October 26, 2000, the Commission filed a Petition for Review to the Arizona Supreme Court. On February 13, 2001, the Commission's Petition was granted. However, at this time, we are going to request FVRB information to insure compliance with the Constitution should the ultimate decision of the Supreme Court affirm the Court's interpretation of Section 14. We are also concerned that the cost and complexity of FVRB determinations must not offend the Telecommunications Act of 1996.
- 11. On November 24, 2000, Applicant filed Affidavits of Publication indicating compliance with the Commission's notice requirements.
- 12. No exceptions were filed to the Staff Report, nor did any party request that a hearing be held.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. Applicant is a public service corporation within the meaning of Article XV of the Arizona Constitution and A.R.S. §§ 40-281 and 40-282.
 - 2. The Commission has jurisdiction over Applicant and the subject matter of the

application.

·16

3. Notice of the application was given in accordance with the law.

4. Applicant's provision of resold intrastate telecommunications services is in the public interest.

- 5. Applicant is a fit and proper entity to receive a Certificate for providing competitive intrastate telecommunications as a reseller in Arizona.
- 6. Staff's recommendations in Findings of Fact No. 8 are reasonable and should be adopted.

ORDER

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the application for LoTel, Inc. d/b/a Coordinated Billing Services for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity for authority to provide competitive resold interexchange telecommunications services, except local exchange services, shall be and the same is hereby granted, except that LoTel, Inc. d/b/a Coordinated Billing Services shall not be authorized to charge customers any prepayments, advances, or deposits. In the future, if LoTel, Inc. d/b/a Coordinated Billing Services desires to initiate such charges, it must file information with the Commission that demonstrates the Applicant's financial viability. Staff shall review the information provided and file its recommendation concerning financial viability and/or the necessity of obtaining a performance bond within thirty (30) days of receipt of the financial information, for Commission approval.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that LoTel, Inc. d/b/a Coordinated Billing Services shall comply with the Staff recommendations set forth in Findings of Fact No 8.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that LoTel, Inc. d/b/a Coordinated Billing Services shall file the following FVRB information within 18 months of the date that it first provides service. The FVRB shall include a dollar amount representing the total revenue for the first twelve months of telecommunications service provided to Arizona customers LoTel, Inc. d/b/a Coordinated Billing Services following certification, adjusted to reflect the maximum rates that LoTel, Inc. d/b/a Coordinated Billing Services requests in its tariff. This adjusted total revenue figure could be calculated as the number of units sold for all services offered times the maximum charge per unit

1	LoTel, Inc. d/b/a Coordinated Billing Services shall also file FVRB information detailing the total		
2	actual operating expenses for the first twelve months of telecommunications service provided to		
3	Arizona customers LoTel, Inc. d/b/a Coordinated Billing Services following certification. LoTel, Inc.		
4	d/b/a Coordinated Billing Services shall also file FVRB information which includes a description and		
5	value of all assets, including plant, equipment, and office supplies, to be used to provide		
6	telecommunications service to Arizona customers for the first twelve months following LoTel, Inc.		
7	d/b/a Coordinated Billing Services' certification.		
8	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that within 30 days of the effective date of this Decision, LoTel,		
9	Inc. d/b/a Coordinated Billing Services shall notify the Compliance Section of the Arizona		
10	Corporation Commission of the date that it will begin or has begun providing service to Arizona		
11	customers.		
12	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately.		
13	BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION.		
14			
	1		
15	CHAIDMAN	COMMISSIONED	
15 16	CHAIRMAN	COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER	
	CHAIRMAN	COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER	
16	CHAIRMAN	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, BRIAN C. McNEIL, Executive	
16 17	CHAIRMAN	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, BRIAN C. McNEIL, Executive Secretary of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have hereunto set my hand and caused the official seal of the	
16 17 18	CHAIRMAN	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, BRIAN C. McNEIL, Executive Secretary of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have	
16 17 18 19	CHAIRMAN	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, BRIAN C. McNEIL, Executive Secretary of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have hereunto set my hand and caused the official seal of the Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix,	
16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRMAN	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, BRIAN C. McNEIL, Executive Secretary of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have hereunto set my hand and caused the official seal of the Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix, this day of, 2001. BRIAN C. McNEIL	
16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRMAN	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, BRIAN C. McNEIL, Executive Secretary of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have hereunto set my hand and caused the official seal of the Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix, this day of, 2001.	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	DISSENT	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, BRIAN C. McNEIL, Executive Secretary of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have hereunto set my hand and caused the official seal of the Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix, this day of, 2001. BRIAN C. McNEIL	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, BRIAN C. McNEIL, Executive Secretary of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have hereunto set my hand and caused the official seal of the Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix, this day of, 2001. BRIAN C. McNEIL	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	DISSENT	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, BRIAN C. McNEIL, Executive Secretary of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have hereunto set my hand and caused the official seal of the Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix, this day of, 2001. BRIAN C. McNEIL	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	DISSENT	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, BRIAN C. McNEIL, Executive Secretary of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have hereunto set my hand and caused the official seal of the Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix, this day of, 2001. BRIAN C. McNEIL	

1	SERVICE LIST FOR:	LOTEL, INC. D/B/A COORDINATED BILLING SERVICES
2	DOCKET NO.:	T-03846A-00-0143
3		
4	4946 Devonshire Circle	
5	Excelsior, Minnesota 55331	
6	" Christopher Rempiey, Cinet Cours	el
7	Legal Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COM 1200 West Washington Street	IMISSION
8	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007	
9	Deborah Scott, Director	
10	INTERIOR COLUMNIA COM	IMISSION
11	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		